



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,198	10/17/2003	Gerardo Zapata	ABGENIX.057A	6664

20995 7590 10/24/2008  
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP  
2040 MAIN STREET  
FOURTEENTH FLOOR  
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER
----------

BRISTOL, LYNN ANNE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1643

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

10/24/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/688,198	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZAPATA, GERARDO	
	<b>Examiner</b> LYNN BRISTOL	<b>Art Unit</b> 1643	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Fuller. (3) LYNN BRISTOL.

(2) Ali Razi. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10/3/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3,5-11,13-23 and 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: no.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed interpretation of phrase "incubating said cell line under adjusted pH conditions" in view of outstanding 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection to determine if Applicants had identified any cell line(s) with a tolerance for recited conditions in order to produce and express the antibody.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David J Blanchard/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643	/Lynn Bristol/
--	----------------